

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/611,230	BARBERIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melody M. Burch	3683	

All Participants:

(1) Melody M. Burch.

(2) Robert Sloan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12-16,19-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Melody M. Burch 6/22/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed amending the claims and the specification to maintain consistency in terminology. It was agreed to cancel claim 21 since the independent claim discussed railway control systems with two lines and not a single transmission line. The abstract was amended to make reference to the provisional transmission line..